Progress on Conceptual Design of the K-DEMO Magnet System



October 20th, 2015

Keeman KIM



National Fusion Research Institute



Mid-Entry Strategy : Korea, Year 1995







KSTAR and ITER Project

a.s.

KSTAR Mission and Key Parameters

Ø KSTAR missions

- To achieve the superconducting tokamak construction and operation experiences
- To explore the physics and technologies of high performance steady-state operation that are essential for ITER and fusion reactor



Ø Achieved key parameters

Parameters	Designed	Achieved (~2014)
Major radius, R ₀	1.8 m	1.8 m
Minor radius, a	0.5 m	0.5 m
Elongation, k	2.0	1.8
Triangularity, d	0.8	0.8
Plasma shape	DN, SN	DN, SN
Plasma current, I_P	2.0 MA	1.0 MA
Toroidal field, B_0	3.5 T	3.5 T
H-mode duration	300 s	45 s
b_N	5.0	4.0
Superconductor Heating /CD PFC	Nb ₃ Sn, NbTi ~ 28 MW C, CFC, W	Nb ₃ Sn, NbTi ~ 7 MW C



KSTAR Final Assembly (2007. 1)





KSTAR Superconducting Tokamak







KSTAR Device and Key Components

Heating &CD ('15) 10.3 MW

NBI 5.5 MW on-axis

ECH/CD 1 MW 170 GHz 1 MW 105/140 GHz

> LHCD 0.5 MW 5 GHz

ICRF 2 MW 30-60 MHz

Helicon CD 0.3 MW 0.5 GHz



7

Diagnostics

Magnetic & Probes Thomson / ECE Da / Bolometer Interferometer / Reflect. Visible TV / IRTV ECEI / MIR / RF Soft X-ray & Hard X-ray CES / BES (D & Li) MSE / XICS

PWI research

Graphite PFC W-bond marker tiles Deposition & erosion

3D field research

In-vessel coils (4 x 3 module) Broadband power supply

Recent operation results toward long-pulse large current H-mode discharge

Long-pulse H-mode discharge : 48s (0.5 MA), 43s (0.6 MA),

• Ip = 0.6 MA,
$$t_{Hmode}$$
~43s, B_T=2T, P_{NBI} ~ 4.3 MW,

- $W_{dia} \sim 0.4 MJ, <ne > \sim 2x10^{19}/m^3$,
- b_N ~ 2.1, H₈₉ ~ 1.7, f_{NI} ~ 0.8
- Limited by electric MVA interlock #11660



Large plasma current H-mode discharge 1 MA (9s), goal : 2 MA (300s)





ρ

Exploring the advanced high performance scenarios development



Extremely low q95 (q95 ~ 2.0) operation verifying extremely low error field



Fully non-inductive H-mode discharge 12s (0.4 MA, fNI ~ 1.0)

- Ip = 0.4 MA, t_{Hmode}~14s, B_T=2.9T,
- $P_{\text{NBI+ECH}} \sim 5.6 \text{ MW}, W_{\text{dia}} \sim 0.4 \text{MJ},$
- $b_N \sim 2.1$, $Ii \sim 1.3$, $f_{NI} \sim 1.0$
- Limited by limiter temperature interlock #13008





Validation of low intrinsic error field and potential of 3D field research

Lowest intrinsic error field (EF) and TF ripple compared to any other tokamaks

- Extremely low intrinsic error field measured by standard campus scan using IVCC
- + $\delta B_{2/1}$ /B_0 $\sim 1~x~10^{-5}$
- TF ripple at edge ~ 5 x 10⁻⁴

3D field research capability using in-vessel control coils & broadband power supplies

- Unique features of in-vessel coils with 3 poloidal rows
- Magnetic perturbation at n=1, n=2, and mixed
- Mixed mode error field perturbation study
- Dynamic error field correction
- NTV rotation control, RWM stabilization

Establish limits for confinement and stability of the tokamak plasmas





KSTAR in-vessel coils



Edge Localized Mode (ELM) - crash suppression using low-n error field uniquely in KSTAR

KSTAR is unique device showing the ELM-crash suppression at n=1 (up to 4s) and n=2 middle coil operation (up to 5s).

- Successful ELM suppression at low n could be related to low error field.
- Check the possibility of the ex-vessel control as for ELM control in ITER and DEMO



ELM-crash suppression at n=2 (5s)



Re-assessment of ELM crash mechanism using advanced

diagnostics (2D/3D ECEI, MIR, RF, etc)

2D/3D observation of the edge filamentary structure using ECEI advanced diagnostics gives a new assessment of the ELM crash and suppression mechanism

- Under ELM crash suppressed phase, conventional Da signal is suppressed.
- But Edge localized mode is remain (Higher-*n* coherent modes, marginally stable)





ITER Project : In-kind Contribution of Korea

- 1. TF Conductor (Completed) Total Value (kIUA) : 215.01 KO Allocation : 20.2% KO Contribution (kIUA) : 43.39
- 2. Vacuum Vessel Main Body Total Value(kIUA) : 123.04 KO Allocation : 21.3% KO Contribution (kIUA) : 26.20
- 3. Vacuum Vessel Port Total Value(kIUA) : 76.96 KO Allocation : 72.7% KO Contribution (kIUA) : 55.98

11. Test Blanket Module* KO Contribution : HCCR TBS (TBM System) kIUA Value : N/A



- 7. Tritium SDS
- Total Value(kIUA) : 15.21
- KO Allocation : 82.1%
- KO Contribution(kIUA): 12.48

* TBMA (TBM Agreement) was signed in 2014 Total Value : 270.54 kIUA 9. IVC Bus bars Total Value(kIUA) : 3.98 KO Allocation : 100% KO Contribution(kIUA) : 3.98

Leading Items

4. Thermal Shield Total Value(kIUA) : 26.88 KO Allocation : 100% KO Contribution(kIUA) : 26.88

5. Blanket Shield Block Total Value(kIUA) : 56.34 KO Allocation : 49.8% KO Contribution(kIUA): 28.07

6. Assembly Tooling Total Value(kIUA) : 23.01 KO Allocation : 100% KO Contribution(kIUA): 23.01

10. Diagnostics Total Value(kIUA) : 142.09 KO Allocation : 3.2% KO Contribution (kIUA) : 4.49

Tokamak Main

Ancillary



8. AC/DC Converters

KO Allocation : 37.3%

Total Value(kIUA) : 123.58

KO Contribution(kIUA): 46.06



ITER Procurement Activities of Korea

- KO TF conductors (20.18 %) consist of 19 rDPs (760 m) and 8 sDPs (415 m).
- Production of strands and cablings was completed in 2013 and in May 2014, respectively.
- All 27 TF conductors were delivered to JADA by the end of November 2014, on schedule. This is the first successful procurement item from KO.







Conductor to Japan

- The thermal shields will be provided by Korea.
- Preparation for fabrication of Vacuum Vessel Thermal Shield is on going;
 - Manufacturing drawing
 - Manufacturing procedure
- After manufacturing of VVTS 10-degree prototype.

2D bending









Water-jet cutting

3D forming In-board welding **Out-board welding**









Cooling tube fit-up and welding

Machining of in-board and out-board

3D scanning

VVTS 10-degree prototype & its test

Manufacturing of vacuum vessel sector 6 is on-going at HHI of Korea. Manufacturing sequence of upper segment (PS2) of VV sector 6



inter-modular & centering keys of inner shell of upper segment (PS2)

lding of



Formingof inner shell & machining of divertor rail, port stub corner, 4-pipe & penetration of lower segment (PS4)







VT & PT



Start welding after inner and outer jigs for inner shell of equatorial segment (PS3)



Inner and outer jigs for welding after forming of inner shell of inboard segment (PS1)

15





Fit-up for welding of 6 keys



15







Fusion Energy Development Roadmap in Korea

Fusion Energy Development Promotion Law

- **§** To establish a long-term and sustainable legal framework for fusion energy development phases.
- § To promote industries and institutes participating fusion energy development by support and benefit.
- S The first country in the world that prepared a legal foundation in fusion energy development.

§ History of the FEDPL

- 1995. 12 : National Fusion R&D Master Plan
- 2005. 12 : National Fusion Energy Development Plan
- 2007. 3 : Fusion Energy Development Promotion Law
- 2007. 4 : Ratification of ITER Implementation Agreement
- 2007. 8 : Framework Plan of Fusion Energy Development (First 5-Year National Plan)
- 2012. 1 : The 2nd 5-year National Plan has started.





Vision and Goal of Fusion Energy Development Policy



K-DEMO Core Technology Development Plan

Development of Core Technology

- 3 Major Research Fields, 7 Core Technologies, 18 Detail Technologies and 6 Major Research Facilities
- Through the complete technical planning process with the full participation of experts from all fields covering fusion, fission, physics, computing, mechanics, material, electrics, electronics, and so on.

K-DEMO 3 Major Research Fields	K-DEMO 7 Core Technologies	Major Research Facilities		
	Tokamak Core Plasma Technology			
Design Basis Technology	Reactor System Integration Technology	Extreme Scale Simulation Center		
	Safety and Licensing Technology			
Material Basis Technology	Fusion Materials Technology	 Fusion Materials Development Center Fusion Neutron Irradiation Test Facility SC Conductor Test Facility 		
	SC Magnet Technology			
Machine and System Engineering Basis Technology	H&CD and Diagnostics Technology	Blanket Test FacilityPMI Test Facility		
	Heat Retrieval System Technology			



K-DEMO Magnet System

atel

-31

Mission & Strategy

- Mission: To demonstrate the sustainable generation of electricity from fusion power
- **n** Strategy:
 - Natural Path: KSTAR à ITER à DEMO (tokamak)
 - To mitigate risks in the course of DEMO development Two-Phased Operation strategy
 - The operation Stage I not considered as a final DEMO
 - At least one port will be designated for the CTF including blanket test facility.
 - To demonstrate the net electricity generation ($Q_{eng} > 1$) and the self-sufficient Tritium cycle (TBR > 1.05).
 - u The operation Stage II
 - Major upgrade of In-Vessel-Components
 - To demonstrate the net electricity generation > 400 Mwe.
 - To demonstrate the competitiveness in COE.



Key Idea of K-DEMO Design

Current Drive and Magnetic Field

- Considering the size, a steady state Tokamak is selected as a K-DEMO.
- Because of high neutron irradiation on ion sources, NBI is not practical for the main off-axis current drive of K-DEMO.
- Because of high density of K-DEMO plasma, high frequency ECCD systems (> 240 GHz) are required in order to minimize the deflection of wave.
- In order to match with the high frequency ECCD, a high toroidal magnetic field Tokamak is required and the magnetic field at plasma center requires > 6.5 T.
- Also, I_{p,limit} B, n_{e, limit} B, and Power R³B⁴ [Reactor Cost R³B²]

Choice of Coolant and Blanket System

- Pressurized water (superheated water) is considered as a main coolant of K-DEMO considering BOP(Balance of Plant).
- Supercritical CO₂ is also considered as a future coolant.
- Helium is also a candidate as a coolant of K-DEMO, but there are concerns about its low heat capacity, a required high pumping power and BOP.
- Both of ceramic and liquid metal blanket system is considered at this stage. But even in the liquid blanket system, the liquid metal will not be used as a main coolant and a water cooling system will be installed inside the liquid metal blanket.



K-DEMO Parameters

Main Parameters

- R = 6.8 m
- a = 2.1 m
- B-center = 7.0~7.4 T
- B-peak = 16 T
- $k_{95} = 1.8$
- d = 0.625
- Plasma Current > 12 MA
- Te > 20 keV

Other Feature

- Double Null Configuration
- Vertical Maintenance
- Total H&CD Power = 80~120 MW
- P-fusion = 2200~3000 MWth
- P-net > 400 MWe at Stage II
- Number of Coils : 16 TF, 8 CS, 12 PF





2D Drawing of Magnet System





CICC Dimensions and Trial Fabrication





CICC Parameter

Parameter	TF HF	TF LF	CS	PF1-4	PF5-6	
Cable pattern	(3SC)x4x5x6x5 +	(((2SC+2Cu)x5)x6+7)	(2SC+1Cu) x3x4x4x6	(2SC+1Cu)x3x4x4x5+Central Spiral		
No. of SC strands	1800	360	576	480		
No. of Copper strands	-	432	288	240		
Spiral Dimension (mm)	ID 7 / OD 11	ID 7 / OD 9	-	ID 7 / OD 9		
Void Fraction (%)	27.6	26.0	36.6	32.5		
■ Strand Type	High Jc (> 2600 A/mm2) Nb3Sn Strand 0.82 mm diameter		ITER type (Jc ~ 1000 A/mm2) Nb3Sn Strand 0.82 mm diameter 0.82 mm diameter		NbTi Strand 0.82 mm diameter	
	(~450 ton + ~280 ton)		(~102 ton + ~90 ton)		(~90 ton)	
■ Cu/non-Cu of Strand	1.0					
Insulation	1.6 mm (including Voltage Tap) (0.1 mm Kapton 400% + 0.3 mm S glass 400%)		2.0 mm (including Voltage Tap) (0.1 mm Kapton 400% + 0.4 mm S glass 400%)			
Jacket Thickness	5.0 mm					
 Twist Pitch (mm) 1st stage 2nd stage 3rd stage 4th stage 5th stage 	$\begin{array}{r} 80 \pm 5 \\ 140 \pm 10 \\ 190 \pm 10 \\ 245 \pm 15 \\ 415 \pm 20 \end{array}$	80 ± 5 140 ± 10 190 ± 10 300 ± 15 -	27 ± 5 45 ± 10 85 ± 10 150 ± 15 385 ± 20	35 ± 5 75 ± 10 135 ± 10 285 ± 15 410 ± 20		
 Wrapping Tape Sub-cable wrap thickness Sub-cable wrap width Cable wrap thickness Final wrap width 	0.08 mm, 40% coverage 15 mm 0.4 mm, 60% coverage 7 mm					



TF Winding and Structure

Dual winding packs with 2 types of CICC High magnetic field with huge Cost savings High Jc Strands No Radial Plate





Cross-Section of TF Coil

- Selected for Detailed Study (Maintenance Space = 2.5 m)
- n Considering Vertical Maintenance Scheme
- **n** R = 6.8 m, a = 2.1 m
- **n** Small CICC Coil : 18 x 10 turns Large CICC Coil : 12 x 5 turns (Total : 240 turns)
- **n** Magnetic Field at Plasma Center : ~7.4 Tesla (Bpeak ~ 16 Tesla, T-margin > 1 K)
- n Nominal Current : 65.52 kA
- n Conductor Length :
 - LQP = ~900 m (Quadruple Pancake) (Total : ~450 ton)
 - SDP = ~930 m (Double Pancake) (Total : ~280 ton)



28

Structual Analysis of TF Case

n TF coil case stresses contoured to 900 MPa maximum !!!





- Elastic deformation occurs from the topright corner of TF inboard side and the maximum stress at the top-left corner can be reduced.
- A detail analysis required to make the stress almost uniform (à averaged stress)



Problem in the Helical Cooling Spiral Shape



One sub-cable between two smaller cooling spirals (3SC)x4x5x6x5 + 2 Helical spirals Spiral size : ID=6 mm, OD=9 mm CICC height increased to 74 mm (Reduction of peak field) Void Fraction = 28%



Elliptic !!! Reduction of Void Fraction

Inter-coil Joint Scheme of Magnet

- n ITER CS Inter-coil Joint Scheme used
 - Joint Resistance ~0.2 n-ohm/joint











3D Modeling of TF Magnet







3D Modeling of TF Assembly





TF Coil Structure





Cross-Section of CS Coils

- n Number of Turns : 14 (Total SC strand weight : ~102 tons)
- n Number of Layers : CS1, CS2, CS3 & CS4 : 24 layers
- **n** Magnetic Field at Center : ~11.8 Tesla (Bpeak < 12.194 Tesla, Half Flux Swing ~83 Wb)
- n Conductor Unit Length : 885 m (CS1, CS2, CS3 & CS4 : UL x 4)
- n Gap Between Coils : 104 mm
- n Magnet Center Position : (1638, 700), (1638, 2100), (1638, 3500), (1638, 4900)

296 mm

n Nominal Current : 42 kA (Current can be increased)

876

n Temperature Margin ~ 1.3 K

1400 mm



3D Modeling of CS Coils





Stability Analysis of TF and CS CICC

n Gandalf Code has been used for the estimation.

n Assumption & Result

- Gaussian shape DC heat pulse was applied for 10 ms at the center of the CICC's.
- The nominal strain of -0.5% was assumed for the superconducting wires.
- The field, temperature and strain dependence of the critical current density was estimated by the scaling law based on strong-coupling theory.
- The percentage perforation of the separation perimeter between the bundle and hole He channels was set to 0.5 and the inlet pressure of 0.5 MPa case was studied.
- For the HF CICC, the energy margin at an operation current of 65.52 kA is well above 500 mJ/ccst whether the heating zone is 2 or 20 m, even for the stagnant flow condition.
- But for the LF conductor, the energy margin at the operation current is above 500 mJ/ccst, when there is a He mass flow of 5 g/sec at the flow path inlet. The energy margin was increased almost twice as the He mass flow increased to 15 g/sec,





Cross-Section of PF Coils

- **n** Number of Turns : 8 turns for PF1~4, 12 for PF5, and 2 for PF6
- n Number of Layers : 20 layers for PF1~4, 36 for PF5 and 4 for PF6
- n Nominal Current : 36, 50, 50, 44, 37, 28 kA for PF1 to 6, respectively.
- n Conductor Unit Length : 620, 755, 890 and 1030 m for PF1~4

980 & 1010 m for PF5 and 770 m for PF6

n Coil Center Position : (2980, 8310), (3660, 8310), (4340, 8590) – PF1~3 (5020, 8750), (12762 & 13158, 7500), (14880, 2950) – PF4~6



38

Radial Build of K-DEMO [unit : mm]







Superconducting Conductor Experiment Facility [SUCCEX]

33

SUCCEX Facility

SUCCEX (SUperConducting Conductor EXperiment)

- Background field : 16 Tesla
- Split-pair Solenoid Magnet System
- Inner-bore Size : ~ 1 m
- Two Test Modes :
 - ü Sultan-like sample test mode
 - ü Semi-circle type conductor sample test mode





(Cf.) SULTAN

- Background field : 11 Tesla
- 100 kA SC Transformer for the short sample test



SULTAN-type Semi-circle-type



Conductor Parameter of SUCCEX Magnets

IC (Inner Coil) CICC : (3SC)x4x5x6[360 SC strand], VF = 27.62% MC (Middle Coil) CICC : (2SC+1Cu)x3x4x6[144 SC strand], VF = 26.96% OC (Outer Coil) CICC : (1SC+2Cu)x3x4x6[72 SC strand], VF = 26.96% Strand : High Jc (> 2600A/mm2) Nb3Sn (total ~ 6.8 ton) Twist Pitch : 50 mm - 110 mm - 170 mm - 290 mm No Sub-Cable Wrapping



SUCCEX Magnet Cross-Section (Upper Coil)





Magnetic Field & Stress of SUCCEX Magnets





Stability Analysis of SUCCEX Magnets





Conclusion

KSTAR : Physics Machine

· High Performance ($_N > 4$) Plasma

Research for Fusion Power Plant

- Fusion Physics Validation
- Tokamak Simulator Development
- Design Requirement for Fusion Plant

ITER : Fusion Engineering

· Stable ($_{\rm N}$ ~ 2) Burning Plasma &

Fusion Nuclear Science Research

- (14 MeV Neutron Effect)
- · Confirmation of Engineering

Feasibility for Fusion Power Plant



Construction & Operation of Artificial SUN(=Fusion Power Plant) [N > 4 required]

