

Current Flow in Polycrystalline Iron-Based Superconductors Assessed by Scanning Hall Probe **Microscopy**

M. Eisterer, J. Hecher, T. Baumgartner Atominstitut, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria

A. Yamamoto

University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo, Japan

J. D. Weiss, J. Jiang, C. Tarantini, E. E. Hellstrom, D. C. Larbalestier National High Magnet Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA





TECHNISCHE

ΕN

VERSITA



ISS2015, Tokyo, November 17th

ATOMINS





Outline

- Motivation
 - Asymmetry of magnetization loops
 - Hysteresis in field dependence of J_c (transport measurements)
 - Common explanation
- Experimental
 - Samples (polycrystalline Ba-122)
 - Scanning Hall probe microscopy
 - Inter- and intra-granular currents
- Model: Josephson coupled grains
- Comparison with data
- Conclusions







Acknowledgments



Johannes Hecher



Thomas Baumgartner

Work supported by the European-Japanese cooperative research project SUPER-IRON and by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): 22837.



Exploring the potential of Iron-based Superconductors











Asymmetry of magnetization loops

K- and Co-doped Ba-122



- Higher macroscopic currents in decreasing fields than in increasing fields





Influence of grain size



- Submicron sized grains: peak at decreasing fields (intergranular currents)
- "Large grains": peak at increasing fields (intra-granular currents)
- Medium sized grains: both peaks







Hysteresis in transport measurements

K-doped Ba-122 wire











Common explanation: return field

- Return fields of the grains: H^{return}
- J_c is determined by the field at the grain boundaries
- H^{return} adds or subtracts from the applied field:

 $H^{shift} = 2H^{return}$

Ba-122 wire:

$$\mu_0 H^{return} = ~2 T$$

J^{grain} ~ H^{return}/r = | r ~ 100 nm | = 2×10⁹ A/cm² > J_d

Corresponding field gradient would be easily visible in our SHPM experiments, but is absent.

This explanation can be ruled out in our case!



J. E. Evetts and B. A. Glowacki Cryogenics 28 (1988) 641







EXPERIMENTAL







Samples

Ba_{0.6}K_{0.4}Fe₂As₂ Applied Superconductivity Center Florida State University Hot isostatic pressure (HIP)

- 600-1120 °C
- 10-20 h
- Grain sizes $s_0 \sim 100 \text{ nm}$, 1 μm , 3 μm
- $J_c(sf, 5 K) \sim 10, 1, 1.10^4 Acm^{-2}$



Grain size distribution:

$$P(s,s_0) = \frac{s^3}{2s_0^4} e^{-\frac{3s}{s_0}}$$

Ba(Fe_{0.92}Co_{0.08})₂As₂ University of Tokyo Ambient pressure

- 500-1100 °C
- 24-240 h
- Grain sizes $s_0 \sim 100 \text{ nm}$, 1 μm , 3 μm
- $J_c(sf, 5 K) \sim 5, 0.5, 1.10^4 Acm^{-2}$









Scanning Hall Probe Microscope (SHPM)

- Helium gas flow cryostat: 3-150 K
- 8 T superconducting magnet
- Scan range: 3×3 mm²
- Spatial resolution: 2 µm









Derivation of inter- and intra-granular current densities





Average global (inter-granular) and local (intra-granular) critical current densities can be derived simultaneously.





RESULTS







Field profiles at different applied fields

K-doped Ba-122









Separation of inter- and intra-granular contributions





Evaluation of current densities → calculation of magnetization Anti-correlated behavior of inter- and intra-grain currents





MODEL







Model assumptions

- Josephson coupled grains
 - Only weak links (density of low angle grain boundaries (strong links) below the percolation threshold)
- Averaging over the grain size distribution
 - Average Josephson current density depends on the junction width
- Reversible and irreversible currents add within the grains
 - Reversible currents: equilibrium magnetization
 - Irreversible currents: flux pinning
 - They add/subtract on the in-/decreasing field



branch



corresponding magnetization





Josephson Junctions



$$\nabla \varphi = \frac{2m_e}{\hbar e n_e} J_s + \frac{2e}{\hbar} A$$

Path integral: $\Delta \varphi = \frac{2m_e}{\hbar e n_e} \oint J_s d\vec{r} + \frac{2e}{\hbar} \phi = 2\pi n$

Has to be fulfilled for all possible paths!

 φ ...phase of superconducting wave function







Josephson Junctions

 $I_B \propto$



- Currents flowing in different direction (partly) compensate each other.
- Fraunhofer pattern
- $I_B \propto B^{-1}$









Alternative description: Surface currents



Phase shift is determined by the surface currents at boundary!

Advantage: Not restricted to Meissner state/currents



Motivated by ideas of D'yachenko et al., e.g. Physica C 213 (1993) 167





Average current density across junction (grain boundary)





0.8 0.7

0.6

0.5 **Q** 0.4

0.3

0.2 0.1

0.0

0



Fit to experimental data







Small grains favor high in-field currents









Conclusions

- Grain boundary physics dominates the critical currents in untextured polycrystalline Ba-122.
- A grain refinement reduces the field dependence of J_c.
 - J_c of the order of 0.1 MA/cm² @ 4.2K 10 T seems possible for nanosized grains (20 nm).
- Intra-granular currents reduce the macroscopic currents.
 - Pinning should **not** be very strong.
 - Magnetic history effects on the critical currents
 - Asymmetric hysteresis loops

